

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action dated May 17, 2007, claims 21-40 were rejected under 35 USC § 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter; and claims 21, 25-26, 28, 36-38 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as anticipated by Baker et al. (US Pat. No. 5,004,152). Also in the Office Action, claims 1-20 and 41-55 were allowed.


In response to the Office Action, claim 21 has been amended to be similar in scope to claim 1. As now amended, claim 21 is believed to comply with 35 USC § 101 and patentably defines over Baker et al. for substantially the same reasons that claim 1 was indicated as being allowable over Baker et al. by the Examiner.

Should any issues remain after consideration of this response, however, then Examiner Miller is invited and encouraged to telephone the undersigned at his convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

July 12, 2007

Date

  
Timothy A. Cassidy  
Reg. No. 38,024

DORITY & MANNING, P.A.  
P.O Box 1449  
Greenville, SC 29602  
Telephone: (864) 271-1592  
Facsimile: (864) 233-7342